The International Planned Parenthood Federation (IPPF) is a global service provider and a leading advocate of sexual and reproductive health and rights for all. We are a worldwide movement of national organizations working with and for communities and individuals. IPPF works towards a world where women, men and young people everywhere have control over their own bodies, and therefore their destinies. A world where they are free to choose parenthood or not; free to decide how many children they will have and when; free to pursue healthy sexual lives without fear of unintended pregnancies and sexually transmitted infections, including HIV. A world where gender or sexuality are no longer a source of inequality or stigma. We will not retreat from doing everything we can to safeguard these important choices and rights for current and future generations.
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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The International Planned Parenthood Federation (IPPF) is at the forefront of making sexual and reproductive health and rights (SRHR) a reality for all. As a global provider of quality sexual and reproductive health (SRH) services and a leading advocate, IPPF is committed to gender equality and to ensuring that women, girls and young people realize their rights and have control over their own bodies, their lives and their futures.

Governments from around the world adopted a landmark programme of action for the advancement of sexual and reproductive health and rights (SRHR). The International Conference on Population and Development (ICPD) Programme of Action (PoA) set forth a vision to achieve gender equality, promote, respect and fulfil human rights and reproductive freedom for all.

Since the ICPD Programme of Action was adopted, progress has been made but much remains to be accomplished.

Still, 190 million women want to avoid pregnancy and do not use any contraceptive method; each year 25 million women have an unsafe abortion; and one in three women experiences intimate partner violence or non-partner sexual violence at some point in their lives. Ultimately, almost all 4.3 billion people of reproductive age worldwide will have their right to health unrealized due to inadequate sexual and reproductive health care over the course of their lives.

The ICPD+25 Nairobi Summit Accelerating the Promise took place in Nairobi, Kenya from 12-14 November 2019, marking the 25th anniversary of the International Conference on Population and Development (ICPD+25). The summit brought together governments, civil society, academia, the private sector, faith-based organizations, international financial institutions, grass roots organizations and other partners, interested in the pursuit of sexual and reproductive health and rights and making voluntary commitments. These commitments are part of an international effort, to ensure that the promise of the ICPD Programme of Action and 2030 Agenda are achieved, and women have autonomy over their bodies and their lives.

The International Planned Parenthood Federation is committed to make this unfinished agenda a reality. Through its ambitious Advocacy strategy – the Advocacy Common Agenda, IPPF focuses on achieving national political change and accountability on Universal Access to Sexual and Reproductive Health and Rights, Safe Abortion, Comprehensive Sexuality Education, Sexual and Gender-Based Violence and ensuring that SRHR and Gender Equality are in the Political Architecture.

IPPF is committed to gender equality and to ensuring that women, girls and young people realize their rights and have control over their own bodies, their lives and their futures.

This ICPD+25 Nairobi Summit – A roadmap for fulfilling the promise report analyses the commitments made by governments of 137 countries who participated at the Summit and identifies thematic patterns, gaps and regional and global trends.

The analysis and commitments database identify many opportunities for IPPF Member Associations, civil society and governments.
to galvanise the political energy of the Nairobi Summit and to ensure that the promises of the ICPD Programme of Action and 2030 Agenda are achieved to leave no one behind.

Monitoring government implementation of life-saving sexual and reproductive health and gender-responsive services, is crucial to ensuring that every woman and girl can act on her human right to make decisions about their own bodies and lives.

This report examines regions individually, collating relevant commitments and mapping them against the key priority themes of the IPPF Advocacy Common Agenda and their alignment to the ICPD. The commitments show governments’ support to the ICPD PoA agenda and offer opportunities for further advocacy to accelerate implementation of the PoA.

Investing in young people’s sexual and reproductive health is a key trend across the board. Commitments to improve data collection, including for gender-based violence were made in every region. Addressing gender-based violence was considered fundamental for the realization of the ICPD PoA, with 143 commitments made. The integration of gender equality and sexual and reproductive health and rights (SRHR) into the political architecture is a significant theme with 130 commitments. Universal health coverage (UHC) and comprehensive sexuality education (CSE) presented a medium level of commitment with 92 and 61 commitments respectively and significant disparities between regions. Forty-four of the 69 Family Planning 2020 (FP2020) countries made contraception commitments.

The report also found that abortion and CSE remain ideological touchstones with clearly demarcated geographical gaps. There is less emphasis on reaching under-served populations compared to regional inter-governmental ICPD agreements.

However, some countries have gone significantly beyond what they had previously agreed at the regional level, responding with measured and well-thought-out policies on the provision of sexual and reproductive health (SRH) services, including in humanitarian settings, as well as the fulfilment of reproductive rights.

The Nairobi Summit and its commitments represent a landmark moment in the history of the ICPD Programme of Action. The commitments should be used to advocate for changes at the country level on the specific themes that governments committed to at the Summit connecting with regional and international advocacy to support national change.

We hope that civil society uses this report to define advocacy strategies at the country level to advance the ICPD Agenda, liaising efforts to achieve the Beijing Platform for Action and the Agenda 2030, developing strategic partnerships and convening in coalitions.

Monitoring government implementation of life-saving sexual and reproductive health and gender responsive services is crucial.
In 1994, 179 governments came together and adopted a revolutionary Programme of Action at the International Conference on Population and Development in Cairo, Egypt. Member States agreed that sexual and reproductive health and rights were human rights that should be at the center of the world’s development agenda.

The ICPD Programme of Action delineates how education, health, agency, development, human rights and empowerment were intertwined and collective action was needed to bring the necessary changes to improve the lives of millions of people, women and girls.

Following ICPD, the Fourth World Conference on Women (Beijing, 1995) confirmed the ICPD agreement and defined the rights of women and girls including the right to control over and decide freely on matters related to sexuality.

Together, the ICPD PoA and the Beijing Platform for Action set the international agenda on women’s rights. However, the vision of empowerment and equality laid out in these agreements has not yet been realized, with many of the actions and commitments made in Cairo and Beijing yet to be fulfilled.

Periodic review conferences3 have followed the ICPD in 1994 to strengthen sexual and reproductive health and rights. Across regions and countries there has been incremental progress on protecting the human rights of women and girls at regional and national levels, often through advocacy towards governments by civil society, UN agencies (including UNFPA) and other stakeholders.

In 2018, ahead of the landmark 25th anniversary of the ICPD PoA, five regional in-depth reviews4 took place. They reaffirmed the political importance of the regional level architecture for ICPD implementation, follow-up and evaluation. The reviews emphasized the need to invest in the acceleration of the ICPD PoA as well as to monitor progress and address gaps to ensure no one is left behind.

The ICPD Programme of Action delineates how education, health, agency, development, human rights and empowerment were intertwined and collective action was needed.

---

3 ICPD beyond 2014 reviews:
   - Europe: https://www.unece.org/fileadmin/DAM/pau/icpd/Conference/Other_documents/Chair-s-Summary.pdf
   - Arab World: https://www.unfpa.org/sites/default/files/event-pdf/Cairo_Declaration_English.pdf

The 2030 Agenda, with its 17 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) is an ambitious global framework with the potential to change lives by integrating the economic, social and environmental dimensions of sustainable development. As a framework it provides renewed impetus for the implementation of the ICPD vision by governments to achieve sexual and reproductive health goals, and for advocates to make them accountable.

Despite some progress in recent decades, a multitude of barriers persists and millions of people across the world still do not realize their sexual and reproductive rights. 190 million women want to avoid pregnancy and do not use any contraceptive method; 25 million women have an unsafe abortion every year; and one in three women experiences intimate partner violence or non-partner sexual violence at some point in their lives. Ultimately, almost all 4.3 billion people of reproductive age worldwide will have their right to health unrealized due to inadequate sexual and reproductive health care over the course of their lives.

The various international and regional human rights political commitments clearly define what needs to be done. They state that everyone – including those made vulnerable through conflict, disaster or crisis – must have access to life-saving sexual and reproductive health care. However, these progressive aspirations are not translating into action at the country level and are still not making enough of a difference to women’s lives.

Across the globe, conservative and more organized opposition, backed up by populist political leaders and regressive policies, increasingly undermine progress to gender equality and sexual and reproductive health and rights. However, even in the face of geo-political turmoil and repression, social movements are springing up around the world. Where governments have failed their citizens and care has been denied, grass roots organizations of women, young people and others are stepping in. From feminist mobilization on safe abortion to the decriminalization of same sex relationships, the fight for the right to be free from reproductive coercion is changing our world, despite ongoing challenges.

The global community must ensure that the aspirational international commitments made at the Nairobi Summit become a reality for every woman, girl and young person.

The Nairobi Summit on ICPD+25 Accelerating the promise took place in Nairobi, Kenya from 12-14 November 2019, marking the 25th anniversary of the International Conference on Population and Development held in Cairo. The summit brought together governments, civil society academia, the private sector, faith-based organizations, international financial institutions, grass roots organizations and other partners, interested in the pursuit of sexual and reproductive health and rights and making related voluntary commitments. These commitments are part of an international effort to ensure that the promise of the ICPD Programme of Action and 2030 Agenda are achieved, and women have autonomy over their bodies and their lives. The five themes of the Summit were Universal Access to Sexual and Reproductive Health and Rights, Financing to complete the ICPD Programme of Action, Demographic Diversity to drive economic growth and achieve sustainable development, Ending Gender-Based Violence and harmful practices and the Right to Sexual and Reproductive Health Care in humanitarian and fragile contexts.

As the Summit was not formally mandated by the UN General Assembly and did not produce an intergovernmental agreed outcome, space was created for stakeholders to come together and restate their determination to achieve the goals agreed in Cairo by presenting their commitments to accelerate the implementation of the ICPD Programme of Action.

---

Through its advocacy strategy – the Advocacy Common Agenda – IPPF is part of a powerful wave of change to ensure that governments respect, protect and fulfil sexual and reproductive rights and gender equality. In doing so, IPPF advocates for national political change and accountability on Universal Access to Sexual and Reproductive Health and Rights, Safe Abortion, Comprehensive Sexuality Education, Sexual and Gender-Based Violence and to ensure that SRHR and Gender Equality are in the Political Architecture. By reshaping sub-national and national political contexts, influencing nationally, regionally and internationally, IPPF contributes to achieving the implementation of the International Conference on Population and Development Programme of Action, the Beijing Platform for Action and the Sustainable Development Goals.

The ICPD+25 Nairobi Summit commitments analysis – A roadmap for fulfilling the promise report aims to provide evidence to civil society to develop national accountability actions and advocacy strategies based on the governmental commitments announced by national governments at the Summit.
This report presents the analysis of the commitments made by governments of 137 countries who participated at the ICPD+25 Nairobi Summit, identifying thematic patterns, gaps and regional and global trends to foster accountability action at the national level and to ensure that they are fulfilled. The report identifies many opportunities for IPPF members, civil society and governments to galvanise the political energy of the Nairobi Summit. This report includes a database of national government’s commitments organized by country, themes and region.

Now is the time to increase our efforts, to hold governments to account and to ensure that these political commitments become a reality for all women, girls and young people.
The priority themes analysed by this report – Universal Access to Sexual and Reproductive Health and Rights, Safe Abortion, Comprehensive Sexuality Education, Sexual and Gender-Based Violence and Sexual and Reproductive Health and Rights and Gender Equality in the Political Architecture – are represented well across all regions. Of the 137 countries who participated in Nairobi, 111 countries made a total of 775 commitments which were analysed, 56 per cent of which (439) fell into priority areas. More specific detailed trends have emerged demonstrating strong areas for targeted advocacy efforts for global and national levels.
Table 1 Commitments alignment against priority themes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Themes</th>
<th>Commitments</th>
<th>Trends</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Universal Access to Sexual and Reproductive Health and Rights | 92 | • Contraception commitments varied in focus and included increasing availability of method mix, eliminating unmet need, strengthening distribution systems, increasing budget allocation for procurement and availability as well as training and affordability for youth.  
• 72 countries made contraception commitments.  
• Africa leads for UHC leads with 32 commitments. |
| Comprehensive Sexuality Education | 61 | • Africa and Europe and Central Asia lead on CSE commitments with 15 and 13 commitments respectively.  
• Lowest number from South Asia countries with three commitments.  
• Across the globe still challenges for support of comprehensive sexuality education.  
• In the Americas CSE commitments fared poorly on the SMART criteria. |
| Sexual and Gender-Based Violence (SGBV) | 143 | • Countries made several commitments on the theme representing a multi-layered, multi-sectoral approach.  
• Commitments moderately include both legislative and financial considerations.  
• Europe and Central Asia (40) and Africa (38) regions lead with the highest number of commitments.  
• Sexual and gender-based violence commitments score high on the SMART criteria. |
| Sexual and Reproductive Health and Rights and Gender Equality in the Political Architecture | 130 | • Across regions, approach is broad and includes financial empowerment, combating discrimination, and several programs and policies that are inclusive, some with men and boys.  
• Africa (59), Europe and Central Asia (20) lead followed by the Americas and Arab World with 18 and 16 commitments respectively.  
• Many countries’ commitments also include the implementation, operationalization or strengthening of existing programs, policies or special government-led initiatives. |
Africa has the highest number of commitments which align with the priority themes (145), with the highest number focusing on gender equality (59) and sexual and gender-based violence (38).

Europe and Central Asia follows, with 92 commitments aligned with the priority themes, where sexual and gender-based violence leads with 40 commitments. The Americas have 66 commitments aligned with the priority areas, with the largest focus on SGBV (22 commitments). The Arab World Region has 59 commitments aligned with the priority themes (18 on each SGBV and UHC), and East Asia, South East Asia and Oceania is next with 53 commitments aligned, where commitments on SGBV (17) and UHC (15) lead. South Asia countries made 24 commitments that align with the priorities, with equal numbers focusing on gender equality and sexual and gender-based violence (8 commitments each).

**SEXUAL AND GENDER-BASED VIOLENCE**

Sexual and gender-based violence represents the theme with the most commitments globally, totalling 143 commitments. Broad support for SGBV is seen across all regions. Commitments score high on the SMART criteria. Africa and Europe and Central Asia, lead with the highest number of SGBV commitments.

**YOUTH**

A large amount of commitments were made across the globe that focus on youth as an accelerating theme of the Summit. Governments across all regions have shown an overwhelming support to the empowerment of youth and to the importance of giving them the tools and creating the enabling environment necessary for their success and to the harnessing of the demographic dividend.

Youth commitments in addition to CSE, focused on HIV and pregnancy prevention programs; gender-based violence prevention and programs; policies related to access to youth friendly sexual and reproductive health services; increasing access to decision making processes and spaces, access to quality education and quality employment; gender equality and contraception.

Governments’ willingness to invest in youth is of vital importance and represents a very positive global trend. In addition to advocating for their specific implementation, these commitments can be leveraged for support for access to SRHR, in particular more access to youth-friendly SRH services, and CSE programs for in and out of school youth.

**DATA**

Across all regions a broad range of data commitments were made. They varied in scope and focus generally including the implementation of country wide censuses, the need for data disaggregation and inclusion of vulnerable groups, the use of quality data to inform program design.
and implementation. Some relate to the generation of reports of the implementation of the ICPD PoA, with focus on sexual and gender-based violence and gender equality. Most of them relate to address the need of data collection analysis to inform programming. Strengthening national statistical systems, and prevalence surveys on HIV also featured among data commitments.

MARGINALIZED AND VULNERABLE POPULATIONS

Marginalized and vulnerable populations also received attention at the Nairobi Summit, although it varies in numbers and diversity across regions. Groups that appear more consistently included people with disabilities, refugees, migrants, particularly migrant women and older persons. Indigenous people and people of African Descent and other ethnic minority groups, while having some commitments did not receive considerable attention, even in the Americas region, that has a large population of both ethnic groups. With the exception of Europe and Central Asia and to a certain extent the Americas, that has a large population of both ethnic groups. With the exception of Europe and Central Asia and to a certain extent the Americas region, that has a large population of both ethnic groups. With the exception of Europe and Central Asia and to a certain extent the Americas region, that has a large population.

ALIGNMENT WITH FP2020

Family Planning 2020 (FP2020) is a global partnership that encourages country-level progress on family planning goals. FP2020 has prioritized 69 focus countries to accelerate progress to expand access to contraceptive commodities and services.

- 44 FP2020 countries made contraception-related commitments
- 28 non-FP2020 countries made contraception-related commitments.

DOMESTIC FUNDING

Ensuring funding for sexual and reproductive health and rights is critical to achieving universal access to SRHR and gender equality. Financial commitments are distributed between domestic funding commitments and Official Development Assistance (ODA) commitments.

Domestic funding commitments were made to cost specific thematic issues or the implementation of the ICPD PoA. The South Asia sub-region leads with around 25 per cent of their commitments dedicated to domestic funding, followed by Africa with 13 per cent. A high number of domestic funding commitments were focused on sexual and gender-based violence and gender equality. Concerning Official Development Assistance commitments, Europe and Central Asia lead with about five per cent of commitments dedicated to this area.

It is not yet clear how much of the funding pledged at the Nairobi Summit is new and how much is repackaged. This analysis does not take into consideration the commitments made by non-state parties.

A high number of domestic funding commitments were focused on sexual and gender-based violence and gender equality.

6 Of a total of 69 FP2020 countries www.familyplanning2020.org/countries
Figure 3: Financial percentage total of commitments by region

Financial commitments as % of total (per region)

- % Domestic funding
- % Official development assistance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Domestic Funding</th>
<th>Official Development Assistance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Americas</td>
<td>5.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>10.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arab World</td>
<td>12.50%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Asia, South East Asia &amp; Oceania</td>
<td>10.00%</td>
<td>5.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Asia</td>
<td>25.00%</td>
<td>5.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe &amp; Central Asia</td>
<td>12.50%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6 EUROPE AND CENTRAL ASIA REGIONAL ANALYSIS
In this region, 28 countries made a total of 147 commitments, with 92 aligned with priority themes – Universal Access to Sexual and Reproductive Health and Rights, Abortion, Comprehensive Sexuality Education, Sexual and Gender-Based Violence and Sexual and Reproductive Health Rights and Gender Equality in the Political Architecture. The majority of commitments made are to end gender-based violence.

Country commitments aligned with the thematic priorities were made: on abortion by Sweden and North Macedonia; on comprehensive sexuality education by Turkmenistan and Norway; on universal health coverage from Albania, Armenia, Georgia and Tajikistan; and on sexual and gender-based violence from Serbia and Belgium.

Youth development was the primary focus of twenty-five commitments in this region. These commitments focus on youth policies and legislation as well as youth-friendly sexual and reproductive health services. In addition, there were commitments made in areas such as comprehensive sexuality education, gender-based violence, sexually transmitted infection prevention, where young people were the key target population.

Thirty-five commitments focusing on the needs of vulnerable populations were made. Although the communities varied slightly between countries, most included racial and ethnic minorities, lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer, intersex communities, people with disabilities, internally displaced persons and migrants. The commitments made by North Macedonia are notable, focused on addressing the needs of marginalized communities, including a pledge to add a specific line in the national budget for sexual and reproductive health services for these groups.

Of the total number of commitments, 11 per cent were on domestic funding while five per cent focused on financing for development assistance. There were no domestic funding commitments made on comprehensive sexuality education or abortion.

In terms of financing for development assistance, twelve commitments were made on gender equality, and six of these were SMART (made by Belgium, Denmark, Finland and Norway). None were made on comprehensive sexuality education, abortion or universal health coverage. Two were made on sexual and gender-based violence, one of which was SMART (Norway). However, not all of these commitments were new.

In total, ten countries made commitments to financially support youth-friendly services,
HIV prevention initiatives, and family planning, at the domestic level. Six countries pledged development funding to end harmful practices, and to sexual and reproductive health and rights programming and peacebuilding.

Slovenia made a commitment to advancing SRH in humanitarian settings. Norway made three commitments to push for the expansion of sexual and reproductive health and sexual and gender-based violence services in humanitarian settings.

Eleven commitments on data were made in this region, primarily from countries in Eastern Europe and Central Asia. Eight of these commitments were SMART, with Georgia, Tajikistan and Uzbekistan standing out in their commitments to hold a population census within a specific time-range.

Protecting civic space and working with civil society to advance the ICPD Programme of Action is an important part of the 2018 Chair’s Summary of the UNECE regional review but is mentioned only 8 times (by 6 governments) in the commitments made by governments in the region. Civil Society Organisations serve the most marginalised and at-risk groups. They have a key role to play in service delivery, community outreach, awareness raising and advocacy, in particular when it comes to Sexual and Reproductive Health and Rights. However, SRHR and women’s rights organisations in Europe have been facing a backlash against women’s rights and a shrinking space for civil society.
QUALITY OF COMMITMENTS

The analysis of commitments relevant to gender revealed that 28 per cent met the SMART criteria. Three domestic financial commitments to gender equality were made in the region and two of these (Norway and Albania) attained a SMART score. One SMART, domestic financial commitment was made on sexual and gender-based violence. One SMART, financial commitment to universal health coverage was made in the region (by Turkmenistan).

Table 6 Europe and Central Asia countries with strong and SMART commitments based on priority themes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Themes</th>
<th>SMART and strong commitments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abortion</td>
<td><em>North Macedonia</em> – Provide comprehensive abortion care in line with international human rights treaties, updating the clinical protocol on safe abortion for health professionals and ensure access to medical abortion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comprehensive Sexuality Education</td>
<td><em>Albania</em> – National implementation of comprehensive sexuality education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Serbia</em> – Provide young people with sexual and reproductive health education peer education programmes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Tajikistan</em> – Implement comprehensive education at all levels covering target number of schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Moldova</em> – Integrate comprehensive health education into mandatory school curriculum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual and Gender-Based Violence</td>
<td><em>Slovenia</em> – Improve regulation and services for victims of gender-based violence and hold awareness raising activities on the issue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Turkmenistan</em> – On domestic violence and to adopt a law on the prevention of sexual and gender-based violence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual and Reproductive Health and Rights and Gender Equality in the Political Architecture</td>
<td><em>Albania</em> – Increase budget allocation to Reproductive, Maternal, Newborn, Child and Adolescent Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Denmark</em> – Increase funding to organizations working to advance ICPD and to UNFPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Finland</em> – Increase core funding to UNFPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Germany</em> – Increase core funding to UNFPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Norway</em> – Invest funding in sexual and reproductive health and rights including to eliminate harmful practices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Sweden</em> – Develop a national sexual and reproductive health and rights strategy by 2020 with special attention to underserved populations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Slovenia</em> – Develop guidelines on promoting gender equality through development assistance and humanitarian aid</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Analysis of the commitments made from countries in the region showed that 44 per cent of the commitments are linked to the UNFPA Three Zeros: family planning, maternal mortality and gender-based violence themes.

For this region 36 per cent of the commitments aligned with the five Summit themes – Demographic Diversity, Financing ICPD, Gender-Based Violence, Sexual and Reproductive healthcare in humanitarian and fragile contexts, and Universal Health Coverage. The majority of these accounted for by commitments made to end gender-based violence. Financing the ICPD was the second most common theme.

NAIROBI COMMITMENTS AND REGIONAL AGREEMENTS

Two intergovernmental texts from this region were analysed for their alignment with commitments made by countries from this region. These were: the Chair’s Summary from the 2013 UNECE Regional Conference ‘Enabling Choices – Population Priorities for the 21st Century’; and ‘Fulfilling the Potential of Present and Future Generations: Report on ICPD Programme of Action Implementation in the UNECE Region’ (2018).

Both texts were structured according to the following key areas:

- Population Dynamics and Sustainable Development
- Families, Sexual and Reproductive Health over the Life Course
- Inequalities, Social Inclusion and Rights
- Partnership and International Cooperation

Other themes that are strongly aligned with UNECE ICPD texts include comprehensive sexuality education, abortion, HIV prevention, protection of civic spaces and addressing the needs of under-served populations. Conversely, discourses that feature strongly in the ICPD texts do not appear as prominently or at all in the Nairobi Summit commitments including the need to take life-course and rights-based approaches to sexual and reproductive health and addressing inequalities.

This regional analysis is accompanied by a commitments database that civil society and governments can use to track Nairobi Summit national commitments for advocacy and accountability efforts to progress their implementation.
7 CONCLUSIONS

The Nairobi Summit was a landmark opportunity for the European and Central Asian governments to recommit to SRHR and gives CSOs a useful tool to advocate in favour of the ICPD Programme of Action implementation. However, the current situation faced by the region, in particular European countries, due to the COVID-19 pandemic, has led to an increase of GBV and SRHR needs, while access to SRHR services decrease. This shows the importance of implementing the national commitments made by European and Central Asian governments in Nairobi. Civil society has, in this context, a crucial role to play to keep their governments accountable to the implementation, including through budget allocation, of the Nairobi commitments. CSOs should be particularly attentive to the risk of deprioritising of SRHR in the aftermath of the crisis. This report can in this context be used to keep governments’ attention on SRHR.

The Nairobi Summit and its national commitments represent a landmark moment in the history of the ICPD Programme of Action. For the first time, governments were able to identify key and priority themes within the ICPD Agenda that will pave the way for changes at the country level. It is important to evaluate the Nairobi Summit with a holistic lens, capturing not only funding or the number and substance of the commitments, but also the political momentum and the potential to strengthen advocacy and accountability of the ICPD Agenda.

The Nairobi Summit commitments and the analysis and data in this ICPD+25 Nairobi Summit – A roadmap for fulfilling the promise report, however, cannot be seen in isolation. It should be related and accompanied by other sources of data that support a holistic and comprehensive assessment of countries’ contexts and their particular political environment, preferably establishing links with social movements and allies who were also present at the Summit.

The analysis in this report provides a roadmap to drive civil society advocacy to achieve governmental prioritization of gender equality and sexual and reproductive health and rights for all, including young people. It indicates trends that can be capitalized on at the country level, connecting with regional and international partners and intergovernmental discussions.

Governments should use this analysis to prioritize, report and celebrate progress on their own commitments made at the Summit in November 2019.

Governments overwhelmingly supported the following thematic areas in the commitments they made:

- Investing in young people’s sexual and reproductive health;
- The importance of improving data collection;
- Tackling and eliminating gender-based violence as key to the realization of the ICPD agenda;
- The realization of gender equality, and specifically the integration of gender equality and sexual and reproductive health and rights into national political structures and,
- Ensuring access to contraception, including for young people.

Furthermore, the gaps on commitments on safe abortion and comprehensive sexuality education need to be addressed by civil society to start the progressive realization of changes in policies and practices even in restrictive countries with smart and contextualized advocacy strategies. The Nairobi Commitments are already a demonstration of governments’ political will to accelerate the implementation of the ICPD PoA and move the Agenda forward. To this end, regional trends can be used by civil society as an argument to demonstrate the need for coherence in addressing common themes at the regional level and changes at the country level.

8 https://ippf-covid19.org/
8 RECOMMENDATIONS

**BUDGET ALLOCATION**

Very few governments matched their policy commitments with budget. CSOs should therefore undertake budgetary advocacy activities to urge governments to allocate, in their 2021 budget process (and onwards), funding to the commitments they made in Nairobi.

**BUDGET ACCOUNTABILITY**

CSOs must hold their governments accountable to the financial commitments made in Nairobi. In the context of the COVID-19 crisis, it is crucial to ensure that European governments stay true to their commitments to SRHR and do not reallocate funding to other priorities. CSOs working with European donor governments and the European Union should be particularly attentive to the realization of commitments regarding ODA: European donors must keep their commitments made to partner countries through ODA and should ensure SRHR remain a priority in their development policies.

**UNIVERSAL HEALTH COVERAGE**

17% of governments made commitments to integrate SRH (or selected areas of it) into UHC. CSOs should encourage these governments to uphold their commitment to universal access to SRH during the C-19 pandemic, avoiding measures that restrict access to SRH without providing viable alternatives. CSOs should also ensure the prioritisation of universal access to SRH in health systems recovery after the pandemic.

**IMPACT OF COVID-19**

The commitments made by governments at the Nairobi Summit must be upheld during the COVID-19 crisis and in its aftermath.

**UNDERSERVED POPULATIONS**

Over half of commitment-making governments in this region pledged to champion the SRHR of specific underserved and vulnerable populations. CSOs from these countries should ensure this commitment is upheld and enacted through government response to, and recovery from, the C-19 pandemic. CSOs whose governments committed to advance the SRHR of ‘vulnerable groups’ should call upon their governments to further define these groups and to prioritise their needs in Covid response and recovery.

**GENDER-BASED VIOLENCE**

Commitments to end gender-based violence were made by the majority of countries in this region, and the urgent need for action has been highlighted by the global crisis. CSOs should encourage governments to uphold their commitments to end GBV when responding to the issue during, and in the aftermath of the C-19 pandemic.

**PARTNERSHIPS**

Plan activities for implementation of the Nairobi Summit commitments with social movements, other CSOs, the UNFPA country offices and other relevant partners.
Analyse the national government commitments with the commitments made by CSO, private sector, UN agencies to ensure a full picture of resources available and action plans to implement those commitments.

Advocacy should be aimed at national governments, including parliamentarians, various levels of decision-making ministries (Gender, Health, Justice, Finance), local authorities, but also academia and other CSOs working on SRHR, human rights and related matters or specific groups such as LGBTQI+, persons with disabilities, indigenous peoples within a multi-sectoral approach.

Countries and regions that have scored poorly in terms of thematic alignment may need a strategic tactical focus to start ‘moving the needle’.

Civil society should do a quality assurance of the commitments announced by their countries with their governments. For instance, negotiating deadlines if they are not time-bound or SMART enough.

Map global intergovernmental spaces and processes that can be utilized for global advocacy, suggesting ways to be supported by regional and international partners, such as the Universal Periodic Review, Human Rights Treaty Bodies’ National Reports (Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women, Committee on the Rights of the Child, Economic, Social and Cultural Rights), and Voluntary National Reports for the High Level Political Forum.
APPENDIX 1 METHODOLOGY AND DATABASE

THE NAIROBI SUMMIT COMMITMENTS ANALYSIS DATABASE

The Nairobi Summit commitments analysis database has been created by researchers, capturing in a systematic and granular way, the commitments made by 137 participating countries at the Summit. This was then assessed against a range of criteria to identify thematic patterns and gaps, as well as regional and global trends and how they are aligned with IPPF's Advocacy Common Agenda.

The database of national governments' commitments is organized by country and region and identifies many opportunities for IPPF members, civil society and governments to galvanise the political energy of the Nairobi Summit.

It is important to note that commitments listed in the database are listed according to the format in which they were submitted to the Nairobi Summit website. For financial and SMART analysis, however, each commitment was broken down into its most discrete possible component, or the smaller commitment 'parts' that it was made up of. The researchers took this approach for two reasons.

To facilitate analysis – this method allowed the researchers to assess each part of the overall commitment according to the metrics selected (ranking on the SMART criteria, focus on domestic or development assistance and thematic area).

To facilitate accountability – if not broken down into their constituent parts it can be easy to skip over important details in the commitments made by governments, making it harder to hold them to account. This method aims to facilitate the attention to detail of each commitment made at the Nairobi Summit.

Users of the database should be aware that the total number of commitments listed in this database may differ from the number of commitments recorded as having been made at the Nairobi Summit.

This publication is accompanied by a series of IPPF ICPD+25 Nairobi Summit commitments reports:

- ICPD+25 Nairobi Summit – A roadmap for fulfilling the promise – main report
- Regional analysis reports: Africa, The Americas, Arab World Region, Asia and the Pacific, Europe and Central Asia
- Online database

METHODOLOGY

Individual commitments were taken from the Nairobi summit website into the database. For financial and SMART analysis, these were further divided into component commitments to ensure all themes embedded in a larger commitment were accounted for. The substance and integrity of commitments were maintained at all times. Commitments were tagged according to the various criteria present in the database taking principally into account the IPPF Advocacy Common Agenda priority themes. Tagging of commitments was done according to governments own self-selection on the website, or subject to researcher's discretion based on commitment description when pre-selection was not present. The SMART analysis followed the following criteria:

- **S** Specific: What will be accomplished? (What actions will you take)?
- **M** Measurable: What data will measure the goal? (How much? How well)?
- **A** Attainable: Is the goal doable? Does the country have the necessary skills and resources?
- **R** Relevant: How does the goal align with ICPD PoA?
- **T** Time-bound: What is the time frame for accomplishing the goal?
For the purpose of this study, and because the researchers do not have enough country context, it was decided that the researchers would assume that all commitments are attainable. However, it will be important that civil society organizations validate this assumption for all single commitments across all regions.

DATABASE

The Nairobi commitments were uploaded to the Summit website using an array of formats and styles. This meant that commitments were not standardized. Several of the commitments were uploaded as singular commitments while containing several unique commitments therein, so it was important to break down the commitments allowing for evaluation at the most discrete level possible. Once the commitments had been fully broken down into their constituent parts, they were evaluated according to region, country, advocacy common agenda priorities per member association; advocacy common agenda priorities per commitment; whether commitments were domestic financial, non-financial, development assistance financial or development assistance non-financial; and the extent to which individual commitments could be graded as SMART (Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Realistic, and Time-bound) – each in their own column.

The inclusion of columns that tagged commitments according to their alignment with UNFPA’s Three transformative results, as well as the commitments alignment with the five themes of the Nairobi Summit, while not the focus of this report, allowed for a larger contextualization of themes and a broader analysis. The researchers felt it was important that the final analysis capture the significance granted to these themes by governments, as it is indicative of governments’ understanding as well as vision and support for the ICPD PoA. Equally, the researchers felt that it was interesting to add a column detailing whether UNFPA has an office in the commitment-making countries and determine if a correlation existed between the SMART criteria and the presence of a UNFPA country office.

LIMITATIONS OF THE METHODOLOGY

The Nairobi Summit website is still open to commitment entry. The data used for analysis potentially is not the most updated. The commitments included in this study do not include those not uploaded to the website at the time of writing, nor the ones read in Nairobi at the commitment ceremony but not registered on the website subsequently. The subdivision of the commitments makes the number of commitments reported unique to this study, making comparability difficult. Many comments were entered in native language, hence translation to English was necessary. The methodology is only focused on quantitative data. A quantitative analysis does not capture the richness of Nairobi Summit and limits the ability of showing a holistic picture. Some of the tagging was subjective which increases the margin of error. Commitments were tagged according to the high-level areas of change in the advocacy common agenda themes meaning a more granular approach was sometimes lost.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AIDS</td>
<td>Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AU</td>
<td>African Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEFM</td>
<td>Child Early Forced Marriage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEDAW</td>
<td>Convention on the Elimination of all forms of Discrimination Against Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMTS</td>
<td>Commitments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRC</td>
<td>Committee on the Rights of the Child</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSO</td>
<td>Civil Society Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSE</td>
<td>Comprehensive Sexuality Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPD</td>
<td>Commission on Population and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSW</td>
<td>Commission on the Status of Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DD</td>
<td>Demographic Dividend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESCR</td>
<td>Economic, Social and Cultural Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU</td>
<td>European Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP</td>
<td>Family Planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP2020</td>
<td>Family Planning 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGM</td>
<td>Female Genital Mutilation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA</td>
<td>General Assembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBV</td>
<td>Gender-Based Violence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GE</td>
<td>Gender Equality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEWE</td>
<td>Gender Equality Women’s Empowerment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLPF</td>
<td>High Level Political Forum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV</td>
<td>Human Immunodeficiency Virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRC</td>
<td>Human Rights Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPPF</td>
<td>International Planned Parenthood Federation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>Full Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICPD</td>
<td>International Conference on Population and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDP</td>
<td>Internally Displaced Person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGBTQI+</td>
<td>Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, Queer, Intersex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC</td>
<td>Montevideo Consensus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM</td>
<td>Maternal Mortality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPoA</td>
<td>Maputo Plan of Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>Member States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODA</td>
<td>Official Development Assistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PoA</td>
<td>Programme of Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMNCHA</td>
<td>Reproductive, Maternal, Newborn, Child and Adolescent Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDG</td>
<td>Sustainable Development Goals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOGIE</td>
<td>Sexual Orientation Gender Identity and Expression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMART</td>
<td>Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Relevant, Time-Bound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR</td>
<td>Special Rapporteur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRSG</td>
<td>Special Representative of the Secretary General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRH</td>
<td>Sexual and Reproductive Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRHR</td>
<td>Sexual and Reproductive Health and Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STI</td>
<td>Sexual Transmitted Infections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN</td>
<td>United Nations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNECE</td>
<td>United Nations Economic Commission for Europe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHC</td>
<td>Universal Health Coverage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNFPA</td>
<td>United Nations Population Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>World Health Organization</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>